

## Sets and Logic

In this chapter, we establish the *notation* and fundamental *notions* of *sets*, and discuss the correspondence of *sets* with *logic*.

### 1.1 Sets and membership

A *set* is a “assemblage”\* of objects. These objects are called the *elements* or *members* of the *set*, where the objects can be anything: numbers, people, other sets, etc. E.g., 12 is an element of the *set of even integers*.

If  $x$  is an *element* of  $A$ , then it is also said that  $x$  *belongs to*  $A$ , or that  $x$  *is in*  $A$ , or that  $A$  *contains*  $x$ . In this case, we write  $x \in A$ . The symbol  $\in$  was introduced by Peano in 1889, and is derived from the Greek letter epsilon  $\epsilon$  (the letter in the Greek alphabet corresponding to the Roman letter ‘e’ which is of course the initial letter of *element*).

We may also say  $A$  *contains*  $x$ , and write  $A \ni x$ . If  $x$  *is not in*  $A$ , we write:  $x \notin A$ .

### 1.2 Specifying sets

The simplest way to describe a *set* is by *enumeration*, i.e. by listing its elements explicitly between *curly braces*. Thus  $\{1, 2\}$  denotes the set whose only elements are 1 and 2. Note the following two properties of sets:

- Order of elements is immaterial, e.g.  $\{1, 2\} = \{2, 1\}$ .
- Repetition (multiplicity) of elements is irrelevant, e.g.  $\{1, 1, 2, 2\} = \{1, 2, 2, 2\} = \{1, 2\}$ .

A *set* can have *no elements*. In this case we say the set is *empty* and denote the *empty set* by  $\{\}$ . We also use the term *null set* and the notation  $\emptyset$  for the *empty set*.

The alternative way to represent a set is with *set-builder notation*, which has the form

$$\{\textit{pattern} \mid \textit{condition}(s)\}.$$

Typically, we write  $\{x \mid P(x)\}$ , or  $\{x : P(x)\}$ , to denote *the set containing all objects  $x$  such that the condition or property  $P$  holds for  $x$* , e.g. we may write

$$\{x \mid x \text{ is a prime}\},$$

literally read as:

*the set of all  $x$  such that  $x$  is a prime,*

which, in this case, we could say more succinctly as: *the set of prime numbers*. Usually, we read the symbol ‘ $\mid$ ’ as ‘*such that*’. The *pattern* may also be an expression, e.g.

$$\{p^2 \mid p \text{ is a prime}\}$$

is the *set of all numbers that are the squares of prime numbers*.

---

\*We might have called a *set* a “collection” of objects, but, a *collection* already means something else; a **collection** is a *set* whose members are themselves sets, e.g.  $\{\{1\}, \{1, 2\}\}$  is a collection.

### 1.3 Special sets

Some sets turn up so often that we have special symbols for them in a special typeface known as *Blackboard Bold*:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{N} &= \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} &&= (\text{the set of}) \textit{ Natural Numbers} \\ \mathbb{Z} &= \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\} &&= (\text{the set of}) \textit{ Integers} \\ \mathbb{Q} &= \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } b \neq 0 \right\} &&= (\text{the set of}) \textit{ Rational Numbers} \\ \mathbb{R} &&&= (\text{the set of}) \textit{ Real Numbers}\end{aligned}$$

The last set contains all numbers that can be represented on the *number line* including the *rational numbers* and numbers such as  $\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\sqrt{3}$ ,  $\pi$ ,  $\dots$  that are termed *irrational*.

### 1.4 Variants of set-builder notation

Set builder notation may also be used as follows:

- $\{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$  denotes the set of all  $x$  that are already in  $A$  such that  $x$  has the property  $P$ , e.g.  $\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \text{ is even}\}$  is *the set of all even integers*.
- $\{f(x) \mid x \in A\}$  denotes the set of all objects with pattern  $f(x)$  such that  $x$  is in  $A$ . We saw this form above, in the definition of the *rational numbers*  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For a simpler example, consider:  $\{2x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is another way of specifying *the set of all even integers*.
- $\{f(x) \mid P(x)\}$  is the most general form of set builder notation, e.g. above we saw:  $\{p^2 \mid p \text{ is a prime}\}$ , *the set of squared prime numbers*.

### 1.5 Subsets and supersets

Given two sets  $A$  and  $B$ , we say that  $A$  is a *subset* of  $B$ , and write  $A \subseteq B$ , if every element of  $A$  is also an element of  $B$ . Notice that in particular,  $B$  is a subset of itself. If a subset  $A$  of  $B$  is *not equal* to  $B$ , we say  $A$  is a *strict subset* of  $B$  or that  $A$  is a *proper subset* of  $B$ .

If  $A$  is a subset of  $B$ , then one can also say that  $B$  is a *superset* of  $A$ , and write:  $B \supseteq A$ . We also say that  $A$  *is contained in*  $B$ , or that  $B$  *contains*  $A$ .

Note that two sets  $A, B$  are equal, written  $A = B$ , if and only if both  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ . Usually when trying to prove that two sets are equal, one shows each set is contained in the other.

Let  $\mathbb{P} = \{p \in \mathbb{N} \mid p \text{ is prime}\}$ , then we have the following sequence of inclusions, i.e. a sequence of sets for which each set is a subset of the next:

$$\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

### 1.6 Universal sets and complements

Often we consider all sets as being subsets of some given *universal set*. E.g., if we are investigating properties of the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  (and subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ ), then we may take  $\mathbb{R}$  as our *universal set*.

Given a universal set  $U$  and a subset  $A$  of  $U$ , we may define the *complement* of  $A$  (in  $U$ ) as

$$A^c = \{x \in U \mid x \notin A\}.$$

In other words,  $A^c$  (' $A$ -complement') is the set of all elements of  $U$  which are not elements of  $A$ . The notations  $A'$  and  $\overline{A}$  are also commonly used to represent the *complement of  $A$* . Thus, the complement  $E^c$  of the set  $E = \{2x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  (the set of all even integers) in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , is the *set of all odd integers*, while the complement of  $E$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of all real numbers that are either odd integers or not integers at all.

## 1.7 Unions, intersections, and relative complements

Given two sets  $A$  and  $B$ , their *union*, written  $A \cup B$ , is the set consisting of all objects which are elements of  $A$  *or* of  $B$  (or of both).

The *intersection* of  $A$  and  $B$ , written  $A \cap B$ , is the set of all objects which are both in  $A$  *and* in  $B$ .

Finally, the *relative complement* of  $B$  relative to  $A$ , also known as the *set(-theoretic) difference* of  $A$  and  $B$ , is the set of all objects that belong to  $A$  but not to  $B$ . It is written as  $A \setminus B$ .

Formally, these sets are:

$$\begin{aligned} A \cup B &= \{x \mid (x \in A) \text{ or } (x \in B)\}, \\ A \cap B &= \{x \mid (x \in A) \text{ and } (x \in B)\}, \\ A \setminus B &= \{x \in A \mid x \notin B\}. \end{aligned}$$

The (*absolute*) *complement*  $A^c$  of a set  $A$  (in a universal set  $U$ ) using the *set difference* notation is  $U \setminus A$ .

## 1.8 De Morgan's Laws

The following statements, known as *de Morgan's Laws*, are true for any sets  $A$  and  $B$ . Each is easy to prove using Venn diagrams.

$$\begin{aligned} (A \cup B)^c &= A^c \cap B^c \\ (A \cap B)^c &= A^c \cup B^c \end{aligned}$$

Note that when we draw a Venn diagram that is supposed to represent a general situation each set should be drawn to intersect each other set (this represents a general situation, since it can still happen that any region may actually be empty). Thus, a Venn diagram with two sets  $A$  and  $B$  should be drawn as two intersecting circles in a rectangle representing the *universal set*  $U$ .

### 1.9 Symbols Summary

$x \in A$  means that  $x$  is an **element** of  $A$ .

$A \cup B$  is the **union** of  $A$  and  $B$ , i.e.  $A \cup B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$   
(in mathematics “or” means “and/or”).

$A \cap B$  is the **intersection** of  $A$  and  $B$ , i.e.  $A \cap B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$ .

$A \subseteq B$  means that  $A$  is a **subset** of  $B$ , i.e. if  $x \in A$  then  $x \in B$ .

$A \subset B$  means that  $A$  is a **proper subset** of  $B$ , i.e.  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A \neq B$ .

$A \setminus B$  (or  $A - B$ ) is  $A$  **take**  $B$ , i.e. the set  $\{x \in A \mid x \notin B\}$ .

$\{\}, \emptyset$  is the **empty set**, i.e. the set with no elements.  
Note that  $\emptyset$  is a subset of every set.

$A^c, A', \bar{A}$  is the **complement** of  $A$ , i.e.  $A' = \{x \mid x \notin A\}$ .

Here  $x$  belongs to some “universal set” which should be clear from the context.

### 1.10 The connection between Set Theory and Logic

Logic deals with *statements* that are either **true** or **false**, whereas Set Theory deals with *elements* of sets – a given *element* can either be *in* a given set or *not in* the set. Let  $A, B$  represent *sets*. Also, let  $p, q$  represent *statements* and  $p'$  represents the **negation** of  $p$  (if  $p$  is **true** then  $p'$  is **false**, and vice-versa).

A right arrow ( $\rightarrow$ ) denotes **implies**. If  $p \rightarrow q$ , then, when  $p$  is **true** so is  $q$ .

A double-arrow ( $\leftrightarrow$ ) is the corresponding symbol for equals; it denotes its operands are **logically equivalent**. If  $p \leftrightarrow q$  then, when  $p$  is **true**, so is  $q$ , and when  $p$  is **false**, so is  $q$ .

A way of viewing the connection between Set Theory and Logic: is to say that the *statements* in Logic tell us which regions of a given *Universal set* are *non-empty*. With this view, one can often convert a Set Theory statement to a Logic one by sticking ‘ $x \in$ ’ in front of it, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} A \cup B &\longrightarrow x \in (A \cup B) \\ &\leftrightarrow x \in A \text{ or } x \in B \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$  are examples of statements  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively.

We give a few examples of this correspondence between Set Theory and Logic:

Set Theory	Logic
$A \cup B$	$p \text{ or } q$
$A \cap B$	$p \text{ and } q$
$A = B$	$p \leftrightarrow q$
$A \subseteq B$	$p \rightarrow q$
$(A \cup B)' = A' \cap B'$	$(p \text{ or } q)' \leftrightarrow p' \text{ and } q'$
$(A \cap B)' = A' \cup B'$	$(p \text{ and } q)' \leftrightarrow p' \text{ or } q'$

The statement ‘ $p \rightarrow q$ ’ has particular importance. From the Set Theory perspective, we are saying that  $A \cap B' = \emptyset$  or equivalently that the *Universal set* is  $A' \cup B$ , so that we have the following logical equivalence:

$$p \rightarrow q \leftrightarrow p' \text{ or } q.$$

In Logic it is customary to use  $\vee$  (vee) for ‘or’,  $\wedge$  (wedge) for ‘and’ and  $\neg$  for **negation** (i.e. we write  $\neg p$  for  $p'$ ). So the above statement may also be written as it appears in the first exercise.

### 1.11 Logic with Quantifiers

The following are known as the **universal quantifier** and **existential quantifier**, respectively.

$\forall$  (which is an inverted **A**) means “for **All**”.

$\exists$  (a back-to-front **E**) means “there **Exists**”.

If  $P(x)$  represents a statement that depends on  $x$ , then the following are *logical equivalences*:

$$\exists x P(x) \leftrightarrow \neg \forall x (\neg P(x))$$

$$\forall x P(x) \leftrightarrow \neg \exists x (\neg P(x)).$$

Sometimes we write the quantifiers at the back of an expression rather than at the front. The meaning is the same, but a trailing ‘ $\exists x$ ’ reads better as: ‘*for some  $x$* ’.

#### Exercise Set 1.

1. Use a truth table to prove that  $p \rightarrow q$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg p \vee q$ , i.e. show

$$(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee q)$$

is a **tautology** (i.e. has value **true** for all possible inputs of  $p$  and  $q$ ).

2. Use 1. to show  $p \rightarrow q$  is logically equivalent to its contrapositive  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ .
3. Write the statement,

In every class there is at least one student who gets everything right.

symbolically using quantifiers.

*Hint.* For example, let  $R(c, s, p)$  represent “Student  $s$  in class  $c$  gets problem  $p$  correct.”

Then write the *negation* of this statement as an *existential* statement (i.e. beginning with  $\exists$ ), symbolically, and then translate that statement back into English.

4. Noting that we often add “s.t.” (abbreviating “*such that*”) to improve the readability of a clause following  $\exists$ , rewrite

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } y = \cos(x)$$

so that the first quantifier in the sentence is  $\exists$ .